

MQCC Minutes
February 10, 1999

Present: Nancy Stancel (Recorder) -- UMKC-Law, Darcy Jones -- MU-Law, Anna Zaidman -- SLU-Pius, Patiarck McCarthy -- SLU-Pius, Janet Jackson -- LSO, Richard Amelung -- SLU-Law, Suzy Bent -- UMC, Lisa Corelee -- UMC, Kathleen Schweitzberger -- UMKC, Vianne Sha -- MU, Norma Fair -- MU, Janice Carter, -- SLU-HSC, Ellen Grewe -- UMSL, David Owens -- UMSL.

I. Minutes from December meeting were approved as written but additional information will be added as an addendum concerning bytes in computer file fixed fields that are inserted but were ignored in the WLN information.

II. Announcements: Introduction to new LSO staff: Axie Hindman and Lisa Corelee are new LSO staff members. Mark Wahrenbrock and Robin Kespohl have joined the MOBIUS staff. The IUG meeting is April 24. Several MQCC people will be attending and this would be a good opportunity for staff to discuss issues with III staff.

III. LSO Update:

--Authority processing: Richard has paper printouts of what WLN produced with our test file. Robin had concern about MESH headings because they did a minor change with ending punctuation, which caused all MESH to be reported as changed headings. We need to let III know if the reports are acceptable. We can send only 40,000 record blocks and we have 180,000 to send. This will take some time. Reports are sorted by what was supposed to happen to them.

--Staffing changes (see II. above)

--OCLC/PSP list verification: Vianne sent out the list and it needs to be verified by February 19th before contacting OCLC. There were titles that we should have had access to last year. Many ISSN's were wrong and some records were cataloged before they had ISSN's attached. Libraries new to MERLIN may not have had paid order records online may have missed getting access. The list is pulled from WorldCat so the question arose whether the information may be misleading.

--Richard brought up the topic of verifying information in 856 fields of PSP records. MRSC wanted to know how we do this. It's part of #7 of our guidelines but it is ambiguous. MRSC people may add some titles to the ECO service.

--MQCC was appreciative that the slow transaction problem has been resolved and the hard work the LSO staff had to do to get III to resolve this. The system is working much better. The GuiCat templates still are not working correctly. Janet would like us to open templates and report and faulty operation to her.

IV. Review of action items:

- #1048 Test Reports-call will be closed, No Match, no hits
- #1148 More titles with Project Muse and coverage is further

back. Call is still open.

--##1268 No response yet to our request to MRSC to consider 655, 650 public display labels.

--##1266 Completed: Richard sent the letter to Library Directors to outsource GPO records. Response was positive.

#1263 Open: Kathleen is working on writing the scope of MQCC standards.

V. GPO records contract update (RCA): Richard has received an e-mail from Jeanne Eisemann with our request to the MIRCL Library Directors. Since that group was not meeting until March our request to them was approved by e-mail. We have formed a committee to look into this project. Richard explored the possibility (since we originally got these records from OCLC) that there must have been a RFP previously. He could find no documentation. What we did was "tweak" our OCLC profile to get these government document records. Is there a necessity for a formal RFP process? It may not be necessary. The essential element is to arrive at what we want and send our request to vendors and collect that data first. We will need to show "diligence" if the bid exceeds a certain amount since we are a public institution. George and Richard will develop an instrument that will provide questions for vendors. SLU is interested in participating as well.

Requirements:

1. We want to see the ability to provide shipping list records.
2. The provider should be able to provide some mechanism to provide overlaying with a complete record so we're not overwhelmed with transfers.
3. Will records carry an OCLC number so that we know during an export why a duplicate record was generated?
4. We need to consider if we need to buy a new III load table.
5. The 856 field will need to be protected.
6. The vendor has to be able to handle multiple 949 fields and set our holdings for us.
7. Can item records come in with ICODE 1 set? (could be done with a separate load table). This would have to occur on the shipping list records, which do not carry an OCLC record number.
8. Can vendor manipulate 655 genre field of electronic government documents?

VI. Revised 655.7 limit proposal: Vianne and Kathleen went over two new additions to the proposal. Action item: Send a proposal to MRSC. How do we handle electronic government documents? MQCC needs to approve any additional thesauri or local schemes. MERLIN will use "ers" as the three-letter code. Action item: Can the vendor, as it is processing the bibliographic record, look at our 655 field subfield 2, then provide us with a list of unacceptable subfields? Otherwise, MQCC would want LSO to create a list in order to clean up 655 subfield 2's. The proposal was approved as amended and Richard will send it to MRSC.

VII. Revised MQCC standards for electronic resources: 8.2.4.1.1.2.2 has been revised to describe a title from the title screen. See PC Week as an example.

The title screen appears in the upper left-hand top corner of the PC screen. 090 will be added to the guidelines. Action item: Determine why the first indicator of "1" appears in item records.

Should we continue to use the "e" codings for electronic resources with the advent of the MOBIUS libraries coming online? The thought was if your location is the only owner, you would use your local electronic code in the record. If someone is doing a scoped search and pulls up an electronic journal held by Columbia and Rolla, the patron may not necessarily have access. There are notes that explain this but patrons don't always check notes. It may be that we need to reconsider whether each location needs to add their code to electronic journals. Also, if we change this, the public display will display duplicate bibliographic records, one for each location. This needs to be explained to MRSC. It will take awhile to get all of these records changed. In the print subscriber's program, (umbrella group of titles) the only thing the titles have in common is that fact that someone has to have a paper subscription to the title, and it varies as to who has it. It may also depend on whether the title is available at a campus or unit level as well. This has an impact on the interlibrary loan procedure as well. MQCC would prefer to current setup. It would be more difficult to maintain the links if we change our procedure. Action item: Richard will e-mail a message to MRSC of the pros and cons of changing this procedure. We need to determine whether licenses are issued at the campus or unit level. If it's at the unit level, we may need to reconsider our procedure.

Certain publishers participating in PSP and are making access "free" to anyone who has a subscription.

We may need to define electronic "journal" as any title cataloged in the serials format. We need to use broad definitions.

VIII. New genre authority codes (Sha): See, new OCLC Technical Services Bulletin 228. Action Item: Ask III to update the validation codes that Kathleen has worked on. New authority subfield codes and the fixed field literary form needs to be updated. The new codes need to be recognized by III's system.

IX. Choice of record for original/micro/photocopy (Sha): "Published reprint" and "published on demand" distinction. There was a discussion on the use of the 533 and 590 tags. Cataloging sites are using a combination of processes. Action item: Each cataloging site needs to survey its campus to find out what practice it is using when choosing multiple formats of the same title (microfiche, paper, etc.) Do they use single or multiple records?

X. Chairmanship election: MQCC elected Norma Fair to replace Richard as chair since he has accepted the chair of the ILS Coordinators committee.

Next meeting is March 10, 1999

Nancy D. Stancel
UMKC Law Library
500 E. 52nd St.
Kansas City, MO 64110-2499
voice: (816) 235-2439
fax: (816) 235-5274

e-mail: stanceln@umkc.edu