

1. Call to order and introductions
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Approval of minutes from April 12, 2013
4. Information Items
5. Old Business
 - Update from Backstage RDA profile working group
 - Matching in MOBIUS: Are there still issues to discuss? For example the print record in Towers <http://towers.searchmobius.org/record=b2021500~S6> gets attached on MOBIUS to the e-book record <http://searchmobius.org/record=b28074542~S0> because of a match on ISBN; the owner of the print book says they could be losing out on credit for lending. A title search of *Forces for good* limited to 2012 still gives five different e-book records, and the book ISBN 9781118118801 also brings multiple e-book matches. Also I reported last week a case of a book at Missouri Western that merged with a clearly different edition in MOBIUS (both print books) because they had the same ISBN. MCO fixed it by changing the 020 in our book to a 920, but that presents its own set of problems. Can MCO please report on its follow-up with Innovative about record matching in MOBIUS in light of the information shared at last April's meeting—specifically, “the [INN-Reach] option to recheck the OCLC number of matches”?
 - Addendum to this: Cindy Dudenhoffer, MOBIUS Board President, had sent an e-mail:

“As Sharla mentioned below, a couple of charges for this group came up at the Aug. 7th board meeting. We hope that MCMAC and MCDAC might work together on these issues.

First, we would like this group to look at the inclusion of ebook records in the union catalog. With the addition of the EBSCO ebooks, which the majority of our users will have access to, the Board questioned whether or not the institution specific books should still be included. We also discussed the option of possibly re-indexing the records with the EBSCO ebooks as a different material type, so searchers could limit or search for specific groups of records or only those they had access to. Could your group discuss this and see what you all think? Please feel free to discuss or create other options as well!

Also, we discussed the possible crafting of a “Best Practices” statement or guidelines/options for adding materials to the union catalog. There is a lot of variety between libraries and clusters on what types of records and materials are submitted. We thought that perhaps it was time to create a document that outlined what can or should be added to the catalog. There is no right or wrong here, but we felt there

August 23, 2013, 2 p.m., online

might be libraries with new directors or staff that haven't revisited what collections they contribute since implementation, and that a refresher would be appropriate.

Both of these issues will be discussed at the Director's Retreat on Sept. 13th, but we would like feedback for our advisory groups as well.

Let me know if you have any questions or need clarification!"

The reference being to a note from Sharla including: "there was a discussion about the Union Catalog and whether certain policies should be created to determine what should actually be in the catalog."

6. New Business

- From Megan at MCO: Permanent link display in the union catalog. The committee agreed to move it up, but due to the EBSCO ebook purchase I had to move the perm. link back down on the page because it was too confusing to have 2 URLs that close. It wasn't apparent which was the perm. URL to the record and which was the EBSCO link.
- Schedule of meetings for the year:
 - October 11, 2013: too soon, given the lateness of this meeting?
 - January 10, 2014
 - April 11, 2014
 - July 11, 2014
- Anything else?

7. Adjourn Meeting