

Members Present:

Mary Aycock	Missouri University of Science & Technology, MERLIN
Carol Bennett	St. Louis Community College, Archway
Jim Dutton, Chair	University of Central Missouri, Quest
Jessica Hammond	MOBIUS Consortium Office
Patricia Logsdon	Washington University
Jill Mahoney	William Woods University, Arthur
Andrew McGarrell	Missouri Western University, Towers
Ted Ostaszewski	Metropolitan Community Colleges, Kansas City
Denise Pakala	Covenant Seminary, Bridges
Phyllis Holzenberg {Proxy}	Drury, SWAN
Stephen Wynn	Truman State University, LANCE
Anna Zaidman	Saint Louis University

Members Absent:

Renee Brumett	Springfield-Greene County Library, SGCL
Melissa Hopkins	Mineral Area College, Galahad
Kathy Morehouse	MRRL

1. Call to order and introductions. Phyllis Holzenberg will be attending as a proxy for Lydia Welhan. Melissa Hopkins won't be able to attend.
2. The agenda was adopted as is.
3. The minutes from October 2011 and January 2012 were approved.
4. Information Items

The MOBIUS Indexing subgroup, consisting of Denise, Jim, Jessica and Janine, met for about an hour yesterday and will pose a few questions to Innovative regarding the re-indexing.

III has pinpointed that the duplicate indexing of the standard number as creating performance issues regarding the speed with which the system updates (but not searching performance). Solving this problem would help those backup queues issues we have.

Certain fields are indexed twice, once in their own index and then again in the keyword "Standard Number" index

ISSN

ISBN

Music No.

Only MOBIUS has these fields in separate indexes; all the other clusters have standard number indexes. Steve remembered that MOBIUS purchased those indexes about the time that we purchased the genre index. If we do choose to keep those separate indexes, perhaps they should be removed

Search Options

Advanced Search
Keyword
Title
Author
Journals, Magazines, Newspapers
Genre
Subject (Library of Congress)
Medical Subject (MESH)
Children's Subject
Numerical Search
ISBN
ISSN
Standard Number
Call Number (Library of Congress)
Call Number (Dewey Decimal)
Government Document Number
Music Number
OCLC Number

from the Standard number index. Innovative suggested either eliminating the separate indexes or removing them from Standard Number index.

If these three fields were removed from the Standard Number index, then what would be left would be various fields, such as 028. Denise proposed that another option would be to rename the label of the Standard number index to something more intelligible, such as in cluster catalogs where they are often called: ISBN, ISSN, Standard no., etc.

Jim asked that the members gather feedback from their clusters about the utility of having separate ISSN and ISBN indexes and send an email to Jim within the next three to four weeks.

The subgroup also reached consensus that the 3xx RDA tags should not be indexed nor displayed in the public view of the catalog.

Overall, the subgroup will be reviewing the MOBIUS indexing rules to eliminate any obsolete fields and ensure new fields have been added. The group is collecting all of the local indexing rules and have all of the ones from the MOBIUS cluster and Springfield Green with the exception of SLU or Wash U or MRRL. The subgroup requests that these institutions forward their indexing rules so they can also reference them.

5. Unfinished Business

Jim did think of one item of unfinished business: the previous mention of finding a way of sharing enhanced cataloging. The idea was tabled at this time.

6. New Business

Towers asked that since the national libraries have set an implementation date for RDA, is it time to discuss matters relating to RDA? For example, should there be guidelines for MOBIUS libraries to implement it?

In any case, there will be copy cataloging records coded in either AACR or RDA, as exists with older standards (such as AACR). The display of the 336-338 fields doesn't make much sense. The motion was made and passed that these fields be suppressed for now.

How about the option to insert the General Material Designator (GMD) in non-book records that lack it? However clearly the public display may distinguish between printed books and e-books, what about the display for staff? Will Sierra show clear distinctions? If we get batches of e-book records from vendors without the GMD of "[electronic resource]", and those records don't get much local review, there will potentially be confusion when staff search the print versions of those titles.

Denise mentioned that in reading all the listservs there are a number of consortia and libraries who are making decision to continue adding the GMD until the ILLs get caught up and can utilize those 3xx fields.

7. The next meeting is July 13th. Calls for a volunteer to chair the committee will be issued before then.

Denise recommended that every cluster should review the indexing rules in their own cluster. Members might want to take a look at how your cluster indexes things like standard numbers to inform your decision.

8. Adjourn Meeting at 2:30 pm

Next Meeting: July 13th, 2012