

## **BRIDGES CATALOGING COMMITTEE**

August 1, 2002

The Cataloging Committee meeting was preceded by a MilCat training session conducted by Pat Seavey from MCO.

The Cataloging Committee meeting was called to order by Denise Pakala, Committee Chair.

Attending the Committee meeting were:

Linda Orzel, Harris-Stowe State College  
Marty Knorr, Harris-Stowe State College  
Jean Rose, Logan College of Chiropractic  
Kathy Nystrom, Eden-Webster Library  
Wendy Schlegel, Eden-Webster Library  
Denise Pakala, Covenant Theological Seminary  
Mary Ann Mercante, Maryville University  
Elaine Trost, Missouri Baptist College

### **MCDAC Report**

Denise Pakala attended the July 12<sup>th</sup> MOBIUS Catalog Design Advisory Committee meeting as the Bridges alternate in place of Mary Ann Mercante, who was unable to attend. Denise reported the following:

#### **General Information:**

Mary Ann was re-elected as MCDAC Chair; Michelle Turvey from Southwest Missouri State University was elected Vice-Chair.

Several libraries have found their own funding to proceed with MOBIUS implementation. Cottey College will join the SWAN cluster; Rockhurst College and the Kansas City Art Institute will join the WILO cluster. Midwest Baptist College and the St. Louis College of Pharmacy have not been able to self-fund and so will not be implemented at this time.

The Missouri State Library is going through Test-Pack training and Cataloging training at present.

Robin will be retiring as of Dec. 31, 2002. MCO will then hire her back half-time for the next year.

#### **AACP:**

Automated Authority Control Processing (AACP) implementation is on hold. While AACP is working fine in the Washington University cluster, problems have arisen in the MERLIN cluster. Only the first heading in a record is being corrected. Until the reason for the problem in MERLIN is discovered and resolved, MCO will not implement AACP in any other cluster. Bridges had previously voted to implement AACP; our implementation will occur after the problem is resolved.

#### **Authority Records for the MOBIUS Union Catalog:**

The project to load Authority records into the MOBIUS Union Catalog has survived all the budget cuts so far and is in place in Robin's budget. If additional budget cuts are requested, this is the next item which would be removed from Robin's budget. The plan is to load the MERLIN cluster's authority records on an annual basis in order to provide cross-references. The load will wait until MERLIN's authority records are up-to-

date. The records will not be up-to-date until AACP has been fixed. January 1 is the current target date for loading Authority records..

#### Not Requestable:

MCDAC voted to approve "Not Requestable" as the permanent replacement for "Unavailable" in the MOBIUS union catalog. ("Not Requestable" had been implemented as a test after the April MCDAC meeting.)

#### Marcive Project:

Marcive has begun to place "Freely available online" into the 856 subfield z of gov doc records which they supply. MCO will shortly begin the process of adding this text into the 856 subfield z of existing gov doc records in the various cluster catalogs. MCDAC voted to also have this done in records for UN documents, Missouri State documents, and other state documents.

#### Item Status Codes:

A problem exists with the language displaying in the MOBIUS Union Catalog for Item Status codes. The language is based on the Item Status codes as used by the MERLIN cluster. As other clusters were implemented, MCO did not require that the other clusters follow the code definitions of the MERLIN cluster. For example, item status code "w" in MERLIN and many clusters means "withdrawn", but in two clusters, "w" means "New Book Display" (Bridges is one of the two) Since the Union Catalog displays the language based on MERLIN coding, the two clusters which use "w" for New Book Display end up with the status of "withdrawn" displaying in the Union catalog for items which are really on the New Book Display

MCDAC worked to come up with language that would take into account the varying use of codes. MCO will request that III make changes in the language displays for Item Status according to the attached chart. These language changes should take care of most problems. MCO will contact the clusters where the use of codes is so variant that the language changes cannot solve the problem to discuss options.

#### Clickable Location Codes:

This project is still on hold. Hardy Pottinger is only on loan to the MOBIUS Office from the UM Systems Office. He has a major project from the UM Systems Office to complete before he will be able to pursue work on the clickable location codes.

#### Error Reporting Form:

MCDAC has made additional changes in the draft Error Reporting Form. Each cluster will have a contact who will receive these forms on behalf of the cluster. Denise will be the Bridges contact for now. The contacts will be given Innopac Staff View because of the difficulties in some instances of determining the creator of a bib record.

#### **Eden-Webster Item Status Withdrawal Code Request**

In view of the problems with the Item Status Codes discussed in MCDAC, Denise will give Per a "heads up" that a decision on this should probably wait until after the final resolution of the inconsistent Item Status Codes has been resolved. Until then, Eden-Webster will use one of the Item Location Codes supplied by MCO for withdrawn items.

## **Authority Records Questions**

Following the discussion at our last meeting of Authority Records and fields to protect during overlay, Denise asked Robin if we had the correct understanding of how Overlay Protection works. Robin responded "yes" and gave Denise a handout on Overlay Protection (attached)

Denise asked Robin if the fields we planned to protect were reasonable fields to protect. Robin responded "yes"

The committee discussed whether to protect the 64x tags. If we are keeping our library-specific series info in the 64X fields, then we will want to protect the tags. However, we will not be able to see any changes in LC's handling of the series if we do that.

Denise will check will MCO to see how hard protection is to set up and changed. If it's not hard to change, then we will have protection setup as we decided at the last meeting and we will settle the 64x issue at our next meeting. If it is difficult to change once it's been setup, then we will hold off sending in our protection request until we decide.

## **Criteria For Pulling Bib Records for Authority Control Processing**

In a February 2002 email from Christopher Gould to Jean, Christopher said that the bibs are pulled for authority control processing by Bib Date and by Supp/Contr code. Items with blank Cat Dates don't get pulled and items with a status other than - don't get pulled. This is different than Robin's statement that the pull is done based on bib #. Observation seems to indicate that Christopher's email describes the correct procedure. If this is so, then putting in a new Cat Date would be a way to resend a record through Authority Control. Denise will contact Christopher to verify just how records are being pulled.

## **Shared Item Location Code**

In response to questions/concerns from the Site Coordinators, the Cataloging Committee voted the following:

The code for the new shared item location will be: share

The item record will be suppressed

For staff purposes, the Location in the item record will be: Shared E-Resource

If it is possible, there will be no call #. If we must have a call #, it will be an 099 call # and will read as does the Location: Shared E-Resource

Denise will set up a standard item template and will provide it to the rest of the cluster.

The shared item location code will be used for electronic resources with no paper equivalent. The use of the shared item location code on records for paper items with a free online version will be tried with a couple of records and reviewed.

## **Blinds Authority Reports**

The group discussed the problem of blind headings repeatedly showing up on reports after they have been coded for deletion. There is no way to tell if a heading on a report has already been set for deletion without looking at the record. In some instances, a majority of headings on a report have already been set for deletion.

The committee decided that as we mark a blind for deletion, we will add [del] at the end of the 1xx tag. This will then print as part of the heading on future blinds reports. [NOTE: [DELETE] will be used instead of [DEL] due to problems discovered after the meeting: cf Denise Pakala's Aug. 5, 2002 email to the Bridges Cataloging List]

Mary Ann requested that Maryville be temporarily dropped from the blinds rotation. Current staffing shortages make it difficult to do the blinds work in a timely fashion. The situation should be rectified in a couple of months. Rather than have MCO change the rotation and then change it back, the group agreed that Mary Ann would forward the blinds reports that she receives to another in the rotation.

## **Forward Slash Issue**

Christopher Gould has inquired as to how much we want MCO to push III on the forward slash display issue. Can we live with this? It will be added to the known problems list and hopefully fixed at some time in the future. The committee agreed that this need not be treated as a priority. While it looks bizarre, it does not interfere with patron's ability to find information.

## **856 Subfields u and z Display**

Denise reported that the Site Coordinators did not discuss this issue at their recent meeting. She will remind Per that this is a pending recommendation from the Cataloging Committee.

## **Next Meeting**

The next meeting of the Bridges Cataloging committee will take place Oct. 10, from 1:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. at Covenant Theological Seminary.