

Minutes - MCCDTF Teleconference, April 7, 2003

Present: Anne Barker (MERLIN) (Co-chair), Ed Buis (Galahad), Robert Frizzell (Towers), Patricia Gregory (MERLIN), Gary Harris (MCO), Tesuk Im (LANCE), Rebecca Kiel (SWAN), Eugenia McKee (Bridges), Ann Riley (Archway) (Co-chair).

Mary Heady is no longer at Lincoln, and so is not present to represent Arthur.

Minutes from last meeting and the initial report to the MOBIUS Executive Committee were approved without amendment.

Anne Barker reported on **meeting with the MOBIUS Executive Committee**. The Executive Committee was appreciative of the work of the task force. Committee members suggested emphasizing service to all Missourians and analyzing Missouri ILL borrowing requests filled by out-of-state libraries. Discussion of the level of service to "all Missourians" concluded that we all provide some level of service to non-affiliate users, either through guest borrowing privileges or on a walk-in basis.

Ann Riley reported on her **presentation at the SUNY meeting** of library directors, which provided opportunity to talk with representatives from other consortia. OhioLink has a state contract with Yankee Book Peddler for 2 and 4 year schools, so that they can see/use each others' approval profiles. Q. of availability of overlap statistics for MOBIUS.

** [Gary has sent these in a subsequent email: Key Indicators:
<http://mco.mobius.missouri.edu/article/archive/328/>
Overlap Data, by quarter, compiled by Innovative from the union catalog:
<http://mco.mobius.missouri.edu/article/archive/255/>]

Gary volunteered to represent the task force at the **April 16 meeting of MOBIUS Council**.

Plan for developing implementation plan:

AR suggests **contacting consortia**: KY (Tesuk), IL (Ed), OH (Ann), FL (Bob), GA/AL (Becky), Amigos software (Bob)

Questions for these:

What cooperative projects are going on?

What is the decision-making structure?

How is funding handled?

Questions from Section V. of the initial report:

- Who has the authority to initiate, plan, and evaluate projects?
- How are projects initiated, planned, and evaluated?
- What criteria are used in evaluating projects?
- What elements are required in any project plan or proposal?
- How are decisions communicated, reported, and publicized?

Gary suggests asking about collection development analysis software or data captured from ILS. Reports based on SCAT tables, for example.

Ed questioned the relationship of the proposed committee with **MERAC**. AR: MERAC does just electronic resources, while CCDTF is a bit broader. Other consortia indicate that cooperative licensing was the easy part. Other projects become more complicated.

Bob notes **Amigos software** doesn't work for Dewey. Many MOBIUS libraries are still using Dewey. Genie mentions WLN has similar software.

Anne Barker will attend the cooperative collection development **pre-conference at ACRL**.

** [Handouts for the pre-conference are available at
<http://www.brynmawr.edu/consortium/ACRLHandouts/ACRL.html>]

The proposed **collection development advisory committee** would probably be analogous to other MOBIUS committees. We would need to write the charge and recommend that to the Executive Committee. We also need a distinctive acronym: possibly "MOBIUS Academic Technical Resources in Exchange (MATRIX)"; "MOBIUS Collection Management Advisory Committee?" Pat will draft a charge before our next meeting.

Template of agreement/decision-making process tabled until further information collected. Ditto for funding.

Surveys. The goal is to identify areas of collection strength/weakness, areas for potential cooperation. What would be available from the ILS? Lending/circulation statistics? Unique items? Overlap? Note that lending stats reflect high demand, not coverage. Difficulty of getting subject coverage information from collection.

>overlap information

>who has unique holdings

>what subject areas are these unique holdings in.

Q. of which clusters might be missing from existing overlap study. Missing Towers and others added post-Towers. Gary will check on possibility of running this study again.

Tesuk gives example of their strength in aviation maintenance. Anne B. gives example of Illinois library collecting Harlequin romances.

Subject analysis: appropriate level of specificity.

Gary will look at SCAT tables for clusters.

Need some sort of standardized table to get comparable data.

Anne R. will draft a survey to be posted to the list.

Gary will check on what can be pulled out of the union catalog.

Future meetings:

Face to face in Jefferson City, Monday, May 5, 10:00.

**[Tesuk has arranged meeting space at Page Library, Lincoln University.]

Teleconferences on May 22 and July 10.

Tentative due date for report is August 15, but an extension would be a possibility if we find we need more time.

Funding and funding models. State money will be in short supply for the foreseeable future and MOBIUS funds are all ear-marked at this point. Funding from institutions also in short supply. Bob notes that we're more likely to have success with agreements to collect more in various areas without distributing funding. MOBIUS Council would have to agree to mandated contribution.

Ann R. will talk with Laura Rein about **new representatives** for Arthur and Washington University.

Submitted by Anne Barker, April 8, 2003